

Despite Sophisticated Acquisition Contract, Allocation of CERCLA Liability Unclear



An April 11, 2019 [decision](#) by the U.S. District Court for the District of Montana illustrates the challenges of contracting away CERCLA liability even when contractual negotiations occur between sophisticated parties. *Columbia Falls Aluminum Co., LLC v. Atlantic Richfield Co.* (D. Mont. April 11, 2019) (Op. & Order re Mot. for Jdgmt. on the Pleadings). In the decision, the court denied Atlantic Richfield Co.'s (Arco's) motion for judgment on the pleadings seeking to confirm that Arco did not have CERCLA liability in connection with an aluminum smelting operation pursuant to a nearly 80-page agreement between Arco and Columbia Falls Aluminum Co., LLC (CFAC). CFAC acquired the facility from Arco in 1985. CFAC is seeking both cost recovery and contribution from Arco after incurring about \$7 million in investigation and feasibility study costs under a 2015 administrative order on consent with EPA.

In denying Arco's motion, the court concluded that Arco's indemnification obligations did not exclude liabilities premised on "pre-closing releases of hazardous substances" even though no response costs were incurred until 2013, nearly three decades after the facility changed hands. Under the agreement, Arco's indemnification obligations expired in 1990, which, Arco argued, shifted all indemnification obligations to CFAC. However, without more factual development, the court determined that it could not resolve whether CFAC's cross-indemnification obligations, which were limited to "liabilities arising out of ... 'the operation of the Smelter Business after the Closing Date,'" encompassed Arco's CERCLA liability at the site.

April 15, 2019

AUTHOR

Gus Winkes

Associate

+1.206.315.4813

awinkes@bdlaw.com



ABOUT B&D

Beveridge & Diamond's over 100 lawyers in eight U.S. offices focus on environmental and natural resources law, litigation and alternative dispute resolution. We help clients around the world resolve critical environmental and sustainability issues relating to their products, facilities, and operations.

Learn more at bdlaw.com

Beveridge & Diamond's [Superfund, Site Remediation, and Natural Resources Damages](#) practice group assists clients in litigation and allocation of CERCLA sites, including complex, large-scale sites. We counsel clients on developing case law and requirements under CERCLA and state-equivalent hazardous waste laws. For more information, please contact the author.